The Danger of Executive Overreach: Lessons from the Trump Administration's Actions

Dan Hagler

April 17, 2025

Introduction

The principles of checks and balances and constitutional rights are foundational to democracy. However, recent actions by the Trump administration have brought to light challenges to these safeguards. As mistakes are made and executive authority is expanded, it becomes critical to examine the ramifications of such decisions—not only for those affected now but for the future of governance and individual rights.

Examples of Executive Overreach

The Deportation of Kilmar Abrego García

Kilmar Abrego García, a legal U.S. resident, was mistakenly deported to a maximum-security prison in El Salvador. This deportation disregarded a court order protecting him from removal due to the risks of persecution. Although the Supreme Court ruled that the administration must "facilitate" his return, the decision stopped short of requiring strict compliance. This raises questions about the erosion of due process rights guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment.

Invoking the Alien Enemies Act

The administration revived this centuries-old law to target alleged gang members for deportation, bypassing standard legal procedures. Critics argue this violates constitutional protections against arbitrary detention and deportation, as well as human rights standards.

Defying Court Orders

Federal judges accused the administration of contempt for ignoring orders to halt deportation flights. The administration defended its actions by claiming interference with executive authority over foreign affairs. Such claims undermine judicial oversight and the checks and balances system.

Executive Orders on Education

Orders aimed at eliminating diversity, equity, and inclusion programs in schools raised concerns about potential violations of First Amendment rights, specifically the right to receive diverse information.

Supreme Court's Wiggle Room

While the Supreme Court has ruled against immediate executive actions in some cases, it has also allowed space for interpretation—enabling the administration to continue certain practices under the guise of executive discretion.

The Potential Ramifications

The Trump administration's actions and the Supreme Court's decisions allowing "wiggle room" set dangerous precedents. These could potentially be exploited by any administration, posing risks for both citizens and non-citizens:

Erosion of Constitutional Protections

Each instance where court orders are bypassed or challenged weakens the principle of due process. If unchecked, this erosion could normalize unilateral government actions, sidelining the judiciary and undermining democracy.

Selective Enforcement

The targeted use of laws like the Alien Enemies Act creates a framework for selective enforcement, which could be expanded to include political opponents, dissenters, or other groups based on biases.

Broadening Judicial Deference

Supreme Court decisions that defer to executive discretion in foreign affairs risk creating a slippery slope. If courts hesitate to challenge the executive branch, it emboldens administrations to push the boundaries of their authority.

Setting Precedents

The normalization of executive overreach sets precedents for future administrations. Legal loopholes exploited today could be applied in increasingly harmful ways tomorrow.

Impact on Citizens

While recent actions primarily target non-citizens, the principles established could affect citizens. For instance, the erosion of due process rights could impact cases involving surveillance, detention, or other government actions.

Conclusion

The Trump administration's actions demonstrate the fragility of legal safeguards when faced with executive overreach. Vigilance, advocacy, and a commitment to protecting constitutional rights are crucial to ensuring that these barriers remain robust. The lessons from these events should inspire reform and awareness, strengthening democratic principles for generations to come.